Antibacterial Peptide Microcin J25 Inhibits Transcription by Binding within and Obstructing the RNA Polymerase Secondary Channel
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Summary

The antibacterial peptide microcin J25 (MccJ25) inhibits transcription by bacterial RNA polymerase (RNAP). Biochemical results indicate that inhibition of transcription occurs at the level of NTP uptake or NTP binding by RNAP. Genetic results indicate that inhibition of transcription requires an extensive determinant, comprising more than 50 amino acid residues, within the RNAP secondary channel (also known as the “NTP-uptake channel” or “pore”). Biophysical results indicate that inhibition of transcription involves binding of MccJ25 within the RNAP secondary channel. Molecular modeling indicates that binding of MccJ25 within the RNAP secondary channel obstructs the RNAP secondary channel. We conclude that MccJ25 inhibits transcription by binding within and obstructing the RNAP secondary channel—acting essentially as a “cork in a bottle.” Obstruction of the RNAP secondary channel represents an attractive target for drug discovery.

Introduction

Microcin J25 (MccJ25) is a 21 residue peptide antibiotic with an unusual “lariat-protoknot” structure (Salomon and Farias, 1992; Bayro et al., 2003; Rosengren et al., 2003; Wilson et al., 2003). MccJ25 is produced by Escherichia coli strains that harbor a plasmid-borne antibiotic synthesis and antibiotic export cassette, consisting of a gene for MccJ25 precursor (a 58 residue linear peptide), two genes for factors that process MccJ25 precursor into MccJ25, and a gene for export of MccJ25 (Soliati et al., 1999). MccJ25 exhibits bacteriocidal activity against a range of gram-negative bacterial species, including E. coli.

Recently, it has been established that the functional target of MccJ25 is RNA polymerase (RNAP). Delgado et al. (2001) showed that MccJ25 inhibits transcription and identified a single-substitution MccJ25-resistant mutant of rpoC—the gene for the RNAP β’ subunit. Yusenkov et al. (2002) confirmed that MccJ25 inhibits transcription and identified six additional single-substitution MccJ25-resistant mutants of rpoC (two affecting the same codon as in the mutant of Delgado et al., 2001; four affecting other codons).

Here, we define the mechanism by which MccJ25 inhibits transcription. Our results indicate that MccJ25 inhibits transcription by binding within and obstructing the RNAP secondary channel (also known as the “NTP-uptake channel” or “pore”). This represents a novel mechanism for inhibition of a nucleotide polymerase and an attractive target for antibacterial drug discovery.

Results

MccJ25 Inhibits Transcription at the Level of NTP Uptake or NTP Binding by RNAP

MccJ25 Does Not Inhibit Open-Complex Formation

Transcription involves the following steps (Record et al., 1996): (1) RNAP binds to promoter DNA, to yield an RNAP-promoter closed complex; (2) RNAP melts ~14 bp of promoter DNA surrounding the transcription start site, to yield an RNAP-promoter open complex; (3) RNAP begins synthesis of RNA, typically carrying out multiple rounds of abortive initiation (synthesis and release of RNA products <9–11 nt in length), as an RNAP-promoter initial transcribing complex; and (4) upon synthesis of an RNA product of a critical threshold length of 9–11 nt, RNAP breaks its interactions with promoter DNA and begins to translocate along DNA, processively synthesizing RNA as an RNAP-DNA elongation complex. To determine whether MccJ25 inhibits steps in transcription up to and including formation of the RNAP-promoter open complex, we performed electrophoretic mobility shift experiments. We incubated RNAP holoenzyme with a fluorochrome-labeled DNA fragment containing the lacUV5 promoter—in parallel in the absence and presence of MccJ25—and we analyzed products by nondenaturing PAGE followed by x/y fluorescence scanning (Figure 1A). The results indicate that MccJ25 at a concentration of 1, 10, or 100 μM has no effect on formation of open complex (Figure 1A). We conclude that MccJ25 does not inhibit steps in transcription up to and including formation of open complex.

MccJ25 Inhibits Abortive Initiation and Elongation

To determine whether MccJ25 inhibits steps in transcription subsequent to formation of the RNAP-promoter open complex, we performed standard transcription experiments. We preincubated RNAP holoenzyme with a DNA fragment containing the lacUV5 promoter to form the RNAP-promoter open complex; we then added radiolabeled NTPs and allowed RNA synthesis to proceed for 5 min at 37°C—in parallel in the absence and presence of MccJ25—and analyzed products by urea-PAGE followed by storage-phosphor imaging (Figure 1B). The results indicate that MccJ25 at a concentration of 1, 10, or 100 μM inhibits both formation of abortive products (3 nt and 4 nt RNA species produced in large stoichiometric excess over the DNA template; 86% inhibition at 100 μM MccJ25) and formation of the full-length product (26 nt RNA species; produced in stoichiometric equivalence with DNA template; 94% inhibition at 100 μM MccJ25) (Figure 1B). The inhibition is specific; thus, inhibition is overcome completely upon substitution of
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Figure 1. MccJ25 Inhibits Transcription at the Level of NTP Uptake or NTP Binding by RNAP

(A) Results of electrophoretic mobility shift experiments assessing effects of MccJ25 on open-complex formation. RP, RNAP-promoter open complex; P, promoter DNA.

(B) Results of transcription experiments assessing effects of MccJ25 on abortive initiation and elongation.

(C) Results of transcription experiments assessing effects of MccJ25 on abortive initiation and elongation with RNAP derivative bearing Thr931→Ile substitution in RNAP β′ subunit—the substitution shown by Delgado et al. (2001) to confer resistance to MccJ25 in vivo (Figure 1C). Parallel experiments starting with a halted elongation complex, rather than with open complex, yield equivalent results with respect to inhibition of formation of full-length product (Supplemental Figure S1 at http://www.molecule.org/cgi/content/full/14/6/739/DC1). We conclude that MccJ25 inhibits both abortive initiation and elongation. We infer that MccJ25 interferes with an elementary reaction step common to both abortive initiation and elongation—i.e., NTP uptake, NTP binding, phosphodiester bond formation, or translocation.

High Concentrations of NTPs Overcome Inhibition
To assess whether MccJ25 interferes with an NTP-concentration-dependent elementary reaction step, we performed transcription experiments at each of four NTP concentrations (12.5, 25, 50, and 100 μM). The results indicate that high NTP concentrations can overcome inhibition by MccJ25 (Figure 1D). As the NTP-concentration increases, the extent of inhibition by MccJ25 decreases—both at the level of abortive products and at the level of the full-length product (Figure 1D). We conclude that MccJ25 interferes with an NTP-concentration-dependent elementary reaction step.

Inhibition Is Partial Competitive with Respect to NTPs
Quantitative analysis of the NTP-concentration-dependence data indicates that mode of inhibition by MccJ25 is partial competitive—i.e., that MccJ25 binds to a site on RNA polymerase distinct from the NTP binding site and increases $K_i$ for NTPs (Figure 1E). (In conventional, or full, competitive inhibition, binding of the inhibitor and binding of the substrate are mutually exclusive [i.e., the inhibitor increases the apparent $K_i$ for substrate to infinity] [Segel, 1975]. In contrast, in partial competitive inhibition, binding of the inhibitor and binding of the substrate are mutually inhibitory but not mutually exclusive [i.e., the inhibitor increases the apparent $K_i$ for substrate but does not increase apparent $K_i$ for substrate to infinity] [Segel, 1975]. Both full and partial competitive inhibition yield double-reciprocal plots that intersect at the $y$ axis; however, full and partial competitive inhibition yield double-reciprocal plots with different dependences of slope on inhibitor concentration and thus readily can be distinguished. Partial competitive inhibition implies that the inhibitor site and substrate site on the enzyme must be distinct, in part or in whole.) $K_i$, the reciprocal of the equilibrium binding constant for MccJ25-RNAP interaction, is estimated to be 1.4 ± 0.2 μM; $\alpha$, the factor by which MccJ25 increases $K_i$ for NTPs, is estimated to be 15 ± 3 (Figure 1E). Fluorescence-detected abortive initiation assays assessing iterative tri- and tetrancleotide synthesis—assays for which the initial velocity assumption is rigorously valid—yield equivalent results:
i.e., partial-competitive inhibition, with \( K_i = 1.2 \pm 0.3 \ \mu \text{M} \) and \( \alpha = 8.7 \pm 2 \) (Figure 1F). We conclude that MccJ25 inhibits transcription by binding to a site on RNAP distinct from the NTP binding site and interfering with NTP uptake or NTP binding.

**MccJ25 Requires an Extensive Determinant within the RNAP Secondary Channel**

*Line the RNAP Secondary Channel*

The MccJ25-resistant *rpoC* mutant of Delgado et al. (2001) results in substitution of residue 931 of RNAP \( \beta' \) subunit (Thr931→Ile). In the primary structure of \( \beta' \), residue 931 maps to conserved region G (Figure 2A). In the three-dimensional structure of bacterial RNAP, residue 931 of \( \beta' \) maps to the RNAP secondary channel (also referred to as the NTP-uptake channel or pore; Figure 2B). The MccJ25-resistant *rpoC* mutants of Yuszenkov et al. (2002) also map to the RNAP secondary channel (Figure 2B). The RNAP secondary channel is an ~30 Å long, ~10–15 Å wide tunnel that connects the exterior surface of RNAP with the RNAP active center (Zhang et al., 1999; Cramer et al., 2001). According to generally accepted models, NTPs must pass through the RNAP secondary channel in order to access the RNAP active center and NTP binding site (Zhang et al., 1999; Cramer et al., 2001; Korzheva et al., 2000; Gnatt et al., 2001; see, however, Nedialkov et al., 2003). Thus, the location of the substitutions, in conjunction with our finding that MccJ25 inhibits transcription by interfering with NTP uptake, immediately suggests a possible mechanism of inhibition; i.e., MccJ25 may inhibit transcription by binding within and obstructing the RNAP secondary channel.

To define determinants of \( \beta' \) specifically required for transcription inhibition by MccJ25—and thereby to test the hypothesis that determinants for binding of MccJ25 are located within the RNAP NTP-uptake channel—we performed random mutagenesis of the entire gene encoding \( \beta' \) and isolated and characterized MccJ25-resistant mutants. (RNAP \( \beta' \) subunit comprises 50% of all residues of RNAP and comprises 90% of all residues of the RNAP secondary channel.) We performed mutagenesis using error-prone PCR (Zhou et al., 1991) of five DNA segments spanning the length of a plasmid-borne *rpoC* gene (Supplemental Table S1 on Molecular Cell’s website). Overall, we performed 20 mutagenesis reactions, analyzed ~100,000 candidates, and isolated 22 independent plasmid-linked MccJ25-resistant mutants (Supplemental Table S1 on Molecular Cell’s website). Minimum bacteriocidal concentration (MBC) assays indicate that all 22 MccJ25-resistant mutants exhibit ~5-fold increases in MBC, and 18 of 22 MccJ25-resistant mutants exhibit ~50-fold increases in MBC (Table 1, column 5). Complementation assays indicate that all 22 MccJ25-resistant mutants can complement an *rpoC* mutant for growth at the nonpermissive temperature, confirming that each encodes a \( \beta' \) derivative functional in transcription—indeed sufficiently functional in transcription to support viability (Table 1, column 4).

For each of the 22 MccJ25-resistant mutants, the DNA-nucleotide sequence of the relevant segment of the *rpoC* gene was determined, and the amino acid sequence of the substituted \( \beta' \) derivative was inferred (Table 1). Nineteen different substitutions, involving eighteen different sites within \( \beta' \), were obtained (Table 1).

In the primary structure of \( \beta' \), the sites at which substitutions were obtained map to conserved region D, conserved region E, conserved region F, the segment between conserved regions G and H, and the segment between conserved regions G and H (referred to as conserved region G’ by Zakharova et al., 1998) (Figure 2A). In the three-dimensional structure of RNAP, the locations of the substitutions are tightly clustered—and are centered on the RNAP secondary channel (Figure 2C). The substitutions, without exception, map to residues that line the RNAP secondary channel or to residues that make direct contact with residues that line the RNAP secondary channel (Figure 2C). The substitutions map to the floor, the roof, and the walls of RNAP secondary channel (Figure 2C).

We conclude that the RNAP secondary channel contains a multiresidue determinant for function of MccJ25. Based on the fact that substitutions conferring MccJ25-resistance were obtained at none of the >1,000 residues of \( \beta' \) outside the immediate vicinity of the secondary channel, we tentatively conclude that no part of \( \beta' \) outside the immediate vicinity of the secondary channel contains a determinant for function of MccJ25.

*MccJ25 Requires More than 50 Residues that Line the RNAP Secondary Channel*

To define systematically the MccJ25 determinant within the RNAP secondary channel, we performed saturation mutagenesis of the *rpoC* gene, encoding \( \beta' \), and the *rpoB* gene, encoding \( \beta \), targeting all codons for residues that line the RNAP secondary channel. We performed saturation mutagenesis using a set of eleven "doped" oligodeoxyribonucleotide primers, designed to introduce all possible nucleotide substitutions at all positions of all codons for residues that line the RNAP secondary channel (sequences in Supplemental Table S2 on Molecular Cell’s website; methods as in Ner et al., 1988; Hermes et al., 1989). In total, we performed 25 mutagenesis reactions, analyzed ~40,000 candidates, and isolated and characterized 114 independent plasmid-linked MccJ25-resistant mutants (Supplemental Table S3 on Molecular Cell’s website).

Sequencing indicates that 106 of the 114 MccJ25-resistant mutants are single-substitution mutants (Table 2). The single-substitution mutants from saturation mutagenesis comprise 75 different substitutions, involving 43 different sites within \( \beta' \) and 4 different sites within \( \beta \) (Table 2). Taken together, the single-substitution mutants from random mutagenesis and saturation mutagenesis comprise 80 different substitutions, involving 47 different sites within \( \beta' \) and 4 different sites within \( \beta \) (Tables 1 and 2).

In the three-dimensional structure of RNAP, the sites at which single substitutions were obtained define a nearly continuous surface, comprising most of the interior lining and part of the rim of the RNAP secondary channel (Figure 2D). The sites span nearly the full circumference of the RNAP secondary channel (Figure 2D). The side chains of the majority of implicated residues are solvent accessible—directed into the lumen of the RNAP secondary channel or toward the exterior of...
Figure 2. MccJ25 Requires an Extensive Determinant within the RNAP Secondary Channel

(A) Schematic maps of RNAP $\beta'$ and $\beta$ subunits showing locations of conserved regions (conserved regions A–H of $\beta'$ and A–I of $\beta$ lettered and shaded; additional conserved regions lightly shaded) and locations of single-residue substitutions that confer MccJ25 resistance (substitutions of Delgado et al., 2001, and Yuzenkova et al., 2002, in black; substitutions from this work in red and pink).

(B–D) Three-dimensional structure of RNAP showing locations of single-residue substitutions that confer MccJ25 resistance (substitutions of Delgado et al., 2001, and Yuzenkova et al., 2002, in red in [B]; substitutions in from random mutagenesis in red and pink in [C]; and substitutions from random and saturation mutagenesis in red and pink in [D]). Each panel presents a stereodiagram, with a view directly into the RNAP secondary channel, toward the active-center Mg$^{2+}$ (white sphere at center). Atomic coordinates are based on the crystal structure of Thermus thermophilus RNAP at 2.6 Å resolution (Vassylyev et al., 2002; PDB accession 1IW7; $\sigma$ subunit omitted for clarity). Correspondences between residues of E. coli RNAP $\beta'$ and $\beta$ and T. thermophilus RNAP $\beta'$ and $\beta$ are based on comprehensive sequence alignments of bacterial, archaeal, and eukaryotic RNAP $\beta'$ and $\beta$ homologs (R.H.E., unpublished data).
and make no obvious interactions important for RNAP structure or function.

We conclude that the RNAP secondary channel contains an extensive determinant for function of MccJ25. Based on the size of the determinant (more than 50 residues; Tables 1 and 2), the architecture of the determinant (interior of hollow cylinder), and the solvent accessibility of the determinant, we propose that the determinant corresponds to the binding site on RNAP for MccJ25. We propose that the sites of substitutions that confer MccJ25 resistance map the binding site on RNAP for MccJ25 and, in effect, serve as a genetic footprint of the binding site.

Of the sites associated with the highest level of MccJ25 resistance (MBC = 1 mg/ml; Tables 1 and 2), 13 of 15 cluster in an \(20 \times 20 \times 20\) Å subregion of the RNAP secondary channel, bounded by the \(\alpha\) helix containing residues 694–700 (D/E helix), the \(\alpha\) helix containing residue 735 (E helix), the \(\alpha\) helix containing residues 777–790 (F helix), the \(\alpha\) helix and loop containing residues 927–933 (G helix and loop), and loop containing residues 1136–1137 (G’ loop) (subregion above and to left of the active center Mg\(^{2+}\) in view in Figure 2D). We infer that this subregion is the most important part of the determinant.

MccJ25 Binds within the RNAP Secondary Channel MccJ25 Binds to RNAP

To determine whether MccJ25 binds to RNAP, we performed fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) binding experiments (Selvin, 2000). We prepared a fluorescent probe-labeled MccJ25 derivative, Cy3-MccJ25, and verified that Cy3-MccJ25 was functional in transcription inhibition. We then titrated a fluorescent probe-labeled RNAP derivative, DAC-\(\gamma\) RNAP holoenzyme, with Cy3-MccJ25 in the concentration range 0–20 \(\mu\)M and monitored FRET. The results reveal a large, saturable increase in FRET, indicating that Cy3-MccJ25 binds to RNAP (Figure 3A, filled circles). Analysis of the concentration dependence of the increase in FRET indicates that the equilibrium dissociation constant, \(K_d\), for interaction of Cy3-MccJ25 with RNAP is 1.4 \(\mu\)M (Figure 3A). Stopped-flow analysis of the kinetics of interaction of Cy3-MccJ25 with RNAP indicates that the association rate constant \(k_{on}\) is 7 \times 10^8 \(M^{-1}\) \(s^{-1}\), and the dissociation rate constant \(k_{off}\) is 0.7 \(s^{-1}\) (Supplemental Figure S2 on Molecular Cell’s website). The value of \(K_d\) estimated from stopped-flow analysis is 1 \(\mu\)M (\(K_d = k_{off}/k_{on}\)), which, within experimental error, agrees with the value of \(K_d\) estimated from direct titration.

MccJ25 Binds to RNAP with \(K_d\) Comparable to \(K_i\)

To determine the equilibrium dissociation constant, \(K_p\), for interaction of native, unlabeled MccJ25 with RNAP, we performed FRET competition experiments. We started with complexes of Cy3-MccJ25 and DAC-\(\gamma\) RNAP holoenzyme, challenged complexes with unlabeled MccJ25 in the concentration range 0–20 \(\mu\)M, and monitored FRET. The results reveal a concentration-dependent decrease in FRET, indicating that unlabeled MccJ25 competes with Cy3-MccJ25 for binding to RNAP (Figure 3B). Analysis of the concentration dependence of the decrease in FRET and application of the Cheng–Prusoff equation (Cheng and Prusoff, 1973) indicates that the equilibrium dissociation constant, \(K_p\), for interaction of unlabeled MccJ25 with RNAP is 0.5 \(\mu\)M (Figure 3B). Within experimental error, \(K_p\) for interaction of unlabeled MccJ25 with RNAP is equal to \(K_d\) for inhibition of transcription (1.2 \pm 0.3 \(\mu\)M; Figures 1E and 1F). We infer that the same binding process is monitored by the FRET experiments as is monitored in the transcription-inhibition experiments.
Table 2. MccJ25 Isolates from Saturation Mutagenesis and Selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino Acid Substitution</th>
<th>Number of Independent MBC(^a) Isolates (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rpoC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Single-Substitution Mutants</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428 Thr→Ile</td>
<td>3 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428 Thr→Asn</td>
<td>2 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>429 Leu→Gln</td>
<td>2 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430 His→Tyr</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>498 Pro→Leu</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>498 Pro→Gln</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503 Ser→Pro</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503 Ser→Tyr</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504 Gin→Arg</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504 Gin→Glu</td>
<td>2 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>508 Leu→Val</td>
<td>1 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>680 Asn→Lys</td>
<td>2 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>684 Asp→Ala</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>684 Asp→Tyr</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>684 Asp→Glu</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>732 Gly→Asp</td>
<td>2 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>733 Ser→Phe</td>
<td>1 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>733 Ser→Val</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>733 Ser→Tyr</td>
<td>1 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>735 Ala→Δ</td>
<td>2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>736 Gin→Pro</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>738 Arg→Leu</td>
<td>1 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>744 Arg→Pro</td>
<td>1 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>744 Arg→His</td>
<td>1 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>748 Ala→Pro</td>
<td>3 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>775 Ser→Cys</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>776 Thr→Ile</td>
<td>3 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>777 His→Tyr</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>777 His→Pro</td>
<td>2 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>779 Ala→Gly</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>779 Ala→Thr</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>779 Ala→Pro</td>
<td>2 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780 Arg→Cys</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>782 Gly→Ala</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>783 Leu→Pro</td>
<td>1 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>784 Ala→Glu</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>785 Asp→Gly</td>
<td>2 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>786 Thr→Ile</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>786 Thr→Ala</td>
<td>2 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>788 Leu→Met</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>789 Lys→Asn</td>
<td>2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>789 Lys→Gln</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>789 Lys→Arg</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>790 Thr→Ala</td>
<td>2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>790 Thr→Ile</td>
<td>2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>790 Thr→Ser</td>
<td>2 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>790 Thr→Asn</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>922 Cys→Tyr</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>926 Pro→Ser</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>927 Gly→Ser</td>
<td>2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>927 Gly→Cys</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>930 Leu→Met</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>931 Thr→Ile</td>
<td>2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>931 Thr→Ala</td>
<td>2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>932 Met→Ile</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>933 Arg→Cys</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1136 Gly→Cys</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1136 Gly→Ala</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1136 Gly→Arg</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1137 Gly→Arg</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1240 Val→Glu</td>
<td>1 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{MBC}\)\(^a\): Minimum bacteriocidal concentration; defined as the lowest concentration of MccJ25 that yields a viable cell count of \(\sim 0\) after incubation 2 hr at \(37^\circ\)C (see Experimental Procedures).

*Binding Requires the Genetically Defined Determinant within the RNAP Secondary Channel*

To determine whether binding of MccJ25 requires the genetically defined determinant within the RNAP secondary channel (Figures 2B–2D), we performed FRET binding experiments with Cy3-MccJ25 and a fluorescent probe-labeled RNAP derivative having a single amino acid substitution within the determinant (Thr931→Ile; see Figure 1C and Table 2; see also Delgado et al., 2001; Yuzenkova et al., 2002). Cy3-MccJ25 exhibited no detectable interaction with the substituted RNAP derivative in the concentration range analyzed (Figure 3A, open circles). We conclude that binding of MccJ25 requires the genetically defined determinant within the RNAP secondary channel. We infer that the genetically defined determinant represents a binding determinant for MccJ25 (as opposed to a conformational determinant required for MccJ25 function but not for MccJ25 binding).

*Binding Occurs within the RNAP Secondary Channel: Competition with GreB*

To test the hypothesis that Cy3-MccJ25 binds within the RNAP secondary channel, we performed FRET competition experiments with Cy3-MccJ25 and the transcript cleavage factor GreB, which has been shown to bind within the RNAP secondary channel (Opalka et al., 2003; Laptenko et al., 2003; Sosunova et al., 2003). We...
Figure 3. MccJ25 Binds within the RNAP Secondary Channel

(A) Binding of Cy3-MccJ25 to DAC-α70 RNAP holoenzyme (filled circles) or DAC-α73 [Ile931]β RNAP holoenzyme (open circles).

(B) Competition by MccJ25 for binding of Cy3-MccJ25 to DAC-α73 RNAP holoenzyme.

(C) Competition by GreB for binding of Cy3-MccJ25 to DAC-α73 RNAP holoenzyme.

(D) Results of systematic FRET measurements defining distances between Cy3 and DAC chromophores in complexes of Cy3-MccJ25 and DAC-labeled RNAP holoenzyme derivatives (ten with DAC at sites within α70, two with DAC at sites within α73). E, FRET efficiency; R o, Förster parameter; R, distance.

(E) Results of systematic FRET measurements and distance-restrained docking defining possible positions of Cy3 chromophore in complexes of Cy3-MccJ25 and DAC-labeled RNAP holoenzyme derivatives (view as in Figure 2). Green spheres, possible positions of Cy3 chromophore (125 highest-ranked solutions; see Supplemental Data section ‘Distance-Restrained Docking’ on Molecular Cell’s website); yellow spheres, DAC sites within α70; orange spheres, DAC sites within α73; cyan ribbons, α70, β, α, and α73, yellow ribbon, α73.

started with complexes of Cy3-MccJ25 and DAC-α70 RNAP holoenzyme, challenged complexes with GreB in the concentration range 0–1 μM, and monitored FRET. The results show that GreB competes with Cy3-MccJ25 for binding to RNAP (Figure 3C). The calculated value of K d for interaction of GreB with RNAP is 0.05 μM, which, within experimental error, agrees with previously reported values (Koulich et al., 1997) (Figure 3C). We conclude that binding of Cy3-MccJ25 to RNA and binding of GreB to RNA are mutually exclusive. We infer that the binding location for MccJ25 overlaps the binding location for GreB: i.e., that MccJ25 binds within or immediately adjacent to the RNAP secondary channel.

Binding Occurs within the RNAP Secondary Channel: Systematic FRET

To test further the hypothesis that Cy3-MccJ25 binds within the RNAP secondary channel and to define the location of Cy3-MccJ25 relative to RNAP within the Cy3-MccJ25-RNAP complex by a direct, physical approach, we performed systematic FRET measurements of distances and distance-restrained docking (methods essentially as in Mekler et al., 2002). We used FRET to measure the distances between the Cy3 probe of Cy3-MccJ25 and DAC probes incorporated into RNAP holoenzyme at each of ten sites in α70 (Figure 3D, left) and each of two sites in α73 (Figure 3D, right). We then used...
MccJ25 Obstructs the RNAP Secondary Channel

MccJ25 is a 21 residue lariat proto-knot, consisting of an 8 residue cyclic segment followed by a 13 residue linear segment that loops back and threads through the cyclic segment (Figure 4A; Bayro et al., 2003; Rosengren et al., 2003; Wilson et al., 2003). MccJ25 has dimensions of $\sim 27 \times 14 \AA$ and is roughly comma shaped, with the cycle and threaded segment (residues 1–8 and 18–21) corresponding to the head of the comma and with the connector segment (residues 9–17) corresponding to the tail of the comma (Figure 4A).

MccJ25 exhibits structural complementarity, in both size and shape, to the RNAP secondary channel. In cross-section, the RNAP secondary channel has dimensions of $\sim 28 \times 14 \AA$ and is roughly comma shaped, with the secondary channel subregion bounded by the $\beta'$ D/E helix, E helix, F helix, and G helix and loop corresponding to the head of the comma and with the secondary channel subregion bounded by $\beta'$ residues 498–504, 732–733, 922–926, and 1244–1248 corresponding to the tail of the comma.

We have used this structural complementarity to dock the structure of MccJ25 into the structure of RNAP in order to construct a model for the structure of the MccJ25-RNAP complex (Figures 4B and 4C). In the resulting model, the cycle and threaded segment of MccJ25 (the head of the comma) is placed in the secondary channel subregion bounded by the $\beta'$ D/E helix, E helix, F helix, and G helix and G loop, and the connector segment of MccJ25 (the tail of the comma) is placed in the secondary channel subregion bounded by $\beta'$ residues 498–504, 732–733, 922–926, and 1244–1248 (Figures 4B and 4C). The resulting model is supported by four observations. First, the model is sterically acceptable (Figures 4B and 4C). Second, the model accounts for genetic data defining critical determinants of RNAP (Figure 2; Tables 1 and 2)—placing MccJ25 in contact with or adjacent to the majority of sites of substitutions conferring MccJ25 resistance (red and pink residues in Figures 4B and 4C) and, in particular, placing the cycle and threaded segment of MccJ25 in contact with or adjacent to the majority of sites of substitutions conferring highest-level MccJ25 resistance (labeled residues in Figure 4C). Third, the model accounts for genetic
and biochemical data defining critical determinants of MccJ25 (J.M., E.S., and R.H.E, unpublished data)—placing essential residues of MccJ25 on the face of MccJ25 directed toward RNAP and placing nonessential residues of MccJ25 on the face of MccJ25 directed toward solvent. Fourth, the model accounts for systematic-FRET/distance-restrained docking data defining possible locations of the Cy3 probe of Cy3-MccJ25 (Figure 3E)—placing the attachment site of Cy3 on the face of MccJ25 directed toward the external mouth of the secondary channel, at a position of MccJ25 close to the external mouth of the secondary channel.

The most striking feature of the model is that it suggests that MccJ25 essentially completely seals the RNAP secondary channel—like a "cork in a bottle" (Figures 4B and 4C). The model suggests that binding of MccJ25 would block passage of molecules the size of NTPs and possibly also would block passage of smaller molecules. It has been proposed that NTPs pass through the RNAP secondary channel to enter the RNAP active center (Zhang et al., 1999; Cramer et al., 2001; Korzheva et al., 2000; Gnatt et al., 2001; see, however, Nediakov et al., 2003) and that abortive RNA fragments, edited RNA fragments, and backtracked RNA segments pass through the secondary channel to exit the active center (Cramer et al., 2001; Korzheva et al., 2000; Gnatt et al., 2001). The model suggests that binding of MccJ25 would block these transactions. It also has been proposed that pyrophosphate may pass through the RNAP secondary channel to exit the RNAP active center. The model suggests that binding of MccJ25 might block this transaction. Finally, it has been shown that the transcript-cleavage factors GreA, GreB, and TFIIS must enter the secondary channel to access the active center (Opalka et al., 2003; Laptenko et al., 2003; Sosunova et al., 2003; Kattenberger et al., 2003). The model suggests that binding of MccJ25 would block function of transcript-cleavage factors, consistent with the observed binding competition between Cy3-MccJ25 and GreB (Figure 3C).

Discussion

Our results establish that MccJ25 inhibits the abortive initiation and elongation phases of transcription, that inhibition involves interference with NTP uptake or NTP binding, and that inhibition is partial competitive with respect to NTPs (i.e., involves a site distinct from the RNAP NTP binding site). Our results further establish that inhibition involves an extensive determinant within the RNAP secondary channel, comprising nearly the entire lining of the RNAP secondary channel (more than 50 sites for substitutions conferring MccJ25 resistance). Our results further establish that MccJ25 binds within the RNAP secondary channel and suggest that binding of MccJ25 within the RNAP secondary channel obstructs the RNAP secondary channel.

The RNAP secondary channel (also referred to as the NTP-uptake channel or pore) is an ~30 Å long, ~10–15 Å wide, fully enclosed tunnel that connects the exterior surface of RNAP with the RNAP active center (Zhang et al., 1999; Cramer et al., 2001). In structural models of the transcription complexes responsible for abortive initiation and elongation, the RNAP secondary channel is the sole evident solvent-accessible path between the exterior surface of RNAP and the RNAP active center (Zhang et al., 1999; Cramer et al., 2001; Korzheva et al., 2000; Gnatt et al., 2001). Therefore, it has been proposed that NTPs must pass through the RNAP secondary channel in order to access the RNAP active center and NTP binding site (Zhang et al., 1999; Cramer et al., 2001; Korzheva et al., 2000; Gnatt et al., 2001). Based on the results presented here, we conclude that MccJ25 inhibits transcription by interfering with NTP uptake by binding within and obstructing the RNAP secondary channel—acting essentially as a cork in a bottle. Severinov and coworkers, using different experimental approaches, have reached the same conclusion (Adelman et al., 2004 [this issue of Molecular Cell]).

Obstruction of the RNAP secondary channel represents a novel mechanism of inhibition of RNAP. Rifampicin inhibits bacterial RNAP by sterically preventing synthesis of an RNA product longer than ~4 nt (Campbell et al., 2001). Streptolydigin, arylhydroxamidines, and pyrazoles are proposed to inhibit bacterial RNAP through interference with active center conformational changes associated with phosphodiester bond formation and/or translocation (Epshtein et al., 2002; Artsimovitch et al., 2003). α-amanitin is proposed to inhibit eukaryotic RNAP II through interference with active center conformational changes associated with phosphodiester bond formation and/or translocation (Bushnell et al., 2002).

Several arguments suggest that obstruction of the RNAP secondary channel represents an exceptionally attractive target for development of antibacterial agents. First, the RNAP secondary channel is eminently "drugable," presenting an extended, encircling surface complementary to a range of molecules—like MccJ25—that have molecular weights of 500–2500 kDa. Second, the RNAP secondary channel exhibits distinct patterns of sequence conservation in bacterial RNAP and eukaryotic RNAP, permitting identification of agents—like MccJ25—that inhibit bacterial RNAP but do not inhibit eukaryotic RNAP. Third, the RNAP secondary channel is distinct from the binding site of the RNAP inhibitor in current use in antibacterial therapy, rifampicin, permitting identification of agents—like MccJ25 (E.S. and R.H.E., unpublished data)—that do not exhibit cross-resistance with rifampicin.

We note that a FRET competition assay employing fluorochrome-labeled MccJ25 and fluorochrome-labeled RNAP provides an effective, high-throughput screening-compatible means to identify agents that bind within the RNAP secondary channel (Figures 3B and 3C). In unpublished work, we have used this assay to identify additional agents that bind within and obstruct the RNAP secondary channel (J.M. and R.H.E., unpublished data).

Key priorities for future work include (1) determination of the three-dimensional structure of the MccJ25-RNAP complex, (2) construction of "minimized" analogs of MccJ25 (analsogs trimmed to the shortest length consistent with function), and (3) isolation of novel secondary channel-directed inhibitors. The results will provide information about RNAP structure and function, research tools for dissection of specific steps in transcription, and lead compounds for antibacterial therapy.
Experimental Procedures

Plasmids

Plasmids used in this work are described in Supplemental Data in the section ‘Plasmids,’ available on Molecular Cell’s website.

MccJ25

MccJ25 was prepared essentially as in Blond et al. (1999) (details in Supplemental Data, section ‘MccJ25,’ on Molecular Cell’s website).

Cy5-MccJ25

Cy5-MccJ25 was prepared using Lys-specific chemical modification. Reaction mixtures contained (200 μl) 1 mM [Lys13]MccJ25 derivative (prepared as for MccJ25 but using plasmid pTU2C20-13K), 2 mM Cy3 NHS-ester (Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech), and 0.5% (v/v) triethylamine in dimethylsulfoxide. Following 15 hr at 4°C, products were purified by reverse-phase HPLC on a C18, 5 μm, 300 Å column (Rainin), with solvent A – 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, solvent B – 90% acetonitrile and 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid, and gradient – 25%–40% solvent B in solvent A in 30 min, and flow rate – 1 ml/min. Fractions containing Cy3-MccJ25 (retention time 29 min; detected by UV absorbance at 276 nm) were pooled, lyophilized, redissolved in 500 μl 30% methanol, and stored in aliquots at −20°C.

RNAP

RNAP core and holoenzyme were prepared as in Niu et al., 1996. [Ile931]397; RNAP core and holoenzyme were prepared from strain 397C [pPC397 arg thi lac (Kclp3085bag3dGlicl); Christie et al., 1996] transformed with a pRL663 derivative encoding [Ile931]397; RNAP transformed using analogous procedures. Yields typically were 6 mg. Purities typically were >95%.

DAC-c70 RNAP

DAC-c70 RNAP was prepared using Cys-specific chemical modification. Reaction mixtures contained (1 ml) 20 μM single-Cys c70 derivative (prepared as in Mukhopadhyay et al., 2001; subjected to solid-phase reduction on Reduce-Imm (Pierce) per manufacturer’s instructions immediately before use), 200 μM N-(7-dimethylamino-4-methylcoumarin-3-yl) maleimide (Molecular Probes), 100 mM sodium phosphate (pH 8.0), and 1 mM EDTA. Following 1 hr at 4°C, products were purified by gel-filtration chromatography on Bio-Gel P6DG (Bio-Rad) and stored in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 100 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM DTT, and 50% glycerol at −20°C. Efficiencies of labeling, determined by measurement of UV absorbance, were ~90%; site specificities of labeling, determined by comparison to products of control reactions with wild-type c70, were ~90%.

DAC-c70 RNAP holoenzyme and DAC-c70 [Ile931]397 RNAP holoenzyme were prepared by incubation of 5 pmol unlabeled RNAP core and [Ile931]397; RNAP core, respectively, with 4 pmol DAC-c70 in 20 μl TB for 20 min at 25°C.

DAC-c00 RNAP

DAC-c00 RNAP was prepared using Cys-specific chemical modification. Reaction mixtures contained (1 ml) 20 μM single-Cys c00 derivative (prepared essentially as described for c70 in Mekler et al., 2002; subjected to solid-phase reduction on Reduce-Imm (Pierce) per manufacturer’s instructions immediately before use), 200 μM N-(7-dimethylamino-4-methylcoumarin-3-yl) maleimide (Molecular Probes), 100 mM sodium phosphate (pH 8.0), 1 mM EDTA, and 6 M guanidine hydrochloride. Following 1 hr at 25°C, products were purified by gel-filtration chromatography on Bio-Gel P6DG (Bio-Rad). Site specificities of labeling, determined by comparison to products of control reactions with wild-type c00, were ~90%.

DAC-c00 RNAP holoenzyme and DAC-c00 [Ile931]397 RNAP were prepared by reconstitution as described for unlabeled RNAP holoenzyme in Naryshkin et al. (2001), using 500 μg β’ or [Ile931]397; 300 μg β, 30 μg hexahistidine-tagged α, and 250 μg c00, including 100 μg DAC-c00, and including a final incubation for 45 min at 37°C. Products were purified using metal ion affinity chromatography on NiNTA-agarose and ion exchange chromatography on Mono-Q (methods essentially as in Naryshkin et al., 2001, and Niu et al., 1996), concentrated (methods essentially as in Naryshkin et al., 2001), and stored in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 100 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM DTT, and 50% glycerol at −20°C.

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays

Reaction mixtures contained (20 μl) 100 nM RNAP holoenzyme, 20 nM DNA fragment lacUV5-12(Cy5 – 26) (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2001), and 0–100 μM MccJ25 in TB (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 100 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 10 μg/ml bovine serum albumin, and 5% glycerol). Following 15 min at 37°C, 0.5 μl 1 mg/ml heparin was added (to disrupt nonspecific complexes), and, following a further 2 min at 37°C, reaction mixtures were applied to 5% polyacrylamide slab gels (30:1 acrylamide/bisacrylamide; 6 × 9 × 0.1 cm), electrophoresed in 90 mM Tris-borate (pH 8.0) and 0.2 mM EDTA (20 V/cm; 30 min at 37°C), and analyzed using a fluorescence scanner (Storm 860; Molecular Dynamics).

Transcription Assays

Reaction mixtures contained (18 μl) 100 nM RNAP holoenzyme, 20 nM DNA fragment lacUV5-12(Cy5 – 26) (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2001), and 0–100 μM MccJ25 in TB. Following 15 min at 37°C, 0.5 μl 1 mg/ml heparin was added, and, following a further 2 min at 37°C, RNA synthesis was initiated by addition of 2 μl 5 mM Apa and 125 μM (or 250 μM, 500 μM, and 1 mM) each of [α32P]UTP (0.6 Bq/ml), ATP, CTP, GTP. Following 5 min at 37°C, reactions were terminated by addition of 10 μl 80% formamide, 10 mM EDTA, 0.04% bromophenol blue, and 0.04% xylene cyanol. Products were heated 10 min at 90°C, resolved by urea-PAGE (Sambrook and Russell, 2001), and quantified using a storage-phosphor scanner (Storm 860; Molecular Dynamics). Identities of tri- and tetranucleotide abortive products were defined as in Borowiec and Gralla (1985). Data were fit to full-competitive, partial-competitive, full-noncompetitive, partial-noncompetitive, full-competitive, full-uncompetitive, partial-competitive, full-uncompetitive, full-mixed, and mixed-and mixed models of inhibition using the Fit-to-Model feature of the SigmaPlot Enzyme Kinetics Module (SPSS).

Fluorescence-Detected Abortive Initiation Assays

Reaction mixtures contained (46.5 μl) 100 nM RNAP holoenzyme, 20 nM DNA fragment lacUV5-12 (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2001), and 0–100 μM MccJ25 in TB. Following 15 min at 37°C, 0.5 μl 1 mg/ml heparin was added, following a further 2 min at 37°C, 1 μl of 0.25–5 mM (γ-AltNS)UTP (Molecular Probes) was added, and reaction mixtures were transferred to submicro fluorometer cuvettes (Starna Cells). Following 2 min at 37°C, RNA synthesis was initiated by addition of 2.5 μl 10 mM A, γ, and fluorescence emission intensity was monitored for 5 min at 37°C (excitation wavelength, 360 nm, and emission wavelength, 500 nm; excitation and emission slit widths, 4 nm; Quantamaster QM1 spectrofluorometer [PTI]). The quantity of UMP incorporated into RNA was determined from the quantity of γ-AltNS)UTP consumed, which, in turn, was calculated as follows (Schlagheck et al. 1979):

\[
\frac{(\gamma-\text{AltNS})\text{UTP}_{\text{consumed}} - (\gamma-\text{AltNS})\text{UTP}_0)}{F_2/F_1} = \frac{(\gamma-\text{AltNS})\text{UTP}_0}{(12.4 \times F_2)}
\]

where (γ-AltNS)UTP0 is the quantity of (γ-AltNS)UTP at time 0, F1 is the fluorescence emission intensity at time 0, and F2 is the fluorescence emission intensity at time t. Data were fit to full-competitive, partial-competitive, full-noncompetitive, partial-noncompetitive, full-competitive, partial-uncompetitive, full-mixed, and mixed-and mixed models of inhibition, as in the preceding section.

FRET Binding Assays

Assay mixtures (50 μl) contained 100 nM DAC-c00 RNAP holoenzyme (labeled at residue 517 of c00) and 0–20 μM Cy3-MccJ25 in TB at 25°C. Fluorescence emission intensities were measured in 5 min after addition of 1 μl 2 mM unlabeled MccJ25 (excitation wavelength, 385 nm; emission wavelength, 465 nm; excitation and emission slit widths, 5 nm; Quantamaster QM1 spectrofluorometer [PTI]). Efficiencies of fluorescence resonance energy transfer (E) and fractional saturations (s) were calculated as

\[ E = 1 - \frac{F_1}{F_2} \]

and

\[ s = \frac{E - E_{\text{max}}}{E_{\text{max}}} \]
40 μM unlabeled MccJ25 and where \( E_{\text{max}} \) is \( E \) at saturation. The equilibrium dissociation constant \( K_{\text{D}}(\text{MccJ25}) \) was extracted by non-linear regression using the equation

\[
0 = [\text{Cy3-MccJ25}] \left( K_{\text{D}}(\text{MccJ25}) + [\text{Cy3-MccJ25}] \right)
\]

(4)

where \([\text{Cy3-MccJ25}]\) is the concentration of Cy3-MccJ25.

To assess kinetics of binding, using a stopped-flow instrument (Stuvira-4/QS; Bio-Logic), 100 μl, 5 μM, or 10 μM Cy3-MccJ25 in TB was mixed with 100 μl 100 nM DAC-β-73 RNAp in TB, and fluorescence emission intensity was monitored as a function of time following mixing (excitation wavelength, 400 nm; emission wavelength, 455 nm; Quantamaster QMI spectrophotometer [PT]), data from four shots averaged). The observed rate of complex formation \( k_{\text{ass}} \), the association rate constant \( k_{\text{on}} \), and the dissociation rate constant \( k_{\text{off}} \) were calculated as

\[
F = F_0 + a \cdot k_{\text{ass}}
\]

(5)

\[
k_{\text{on}} = (k_{\text{ass}} - k_{\text{off}}) / ([\text{Cy3-MccJ25}] - [\text{Cy3-MccJ25}]_0)
\]

(6)

\[
k_{\text{off}} = k_{\text{off}}[\text{Cy3-MccJ25}]_0 - k_{\text{ass}}
\]

(7)

where \( F \) is fluorescence emission intensity at time \( t \); \( F_0 + a \) is the fluorescence emission intensity at \( t = -\infty \) and \( k_{\text{ass}}, k_{\text{on}}, k_{\text{off}} \) are observed rates at \([\text{Cy3-MccJ25}] \); and \([\text{Cy3-MccJ25}]_0 \) is the concentration of competitor that yields half-maximal competition.

FRET Distance Measurements

Assay mixtures (50 μl) contained 100 nM DAC-β-73 RNAp holoenzyme (labeled at residue 172 of \( \beta^73 \)), 5 μM Cy3-MccJ25, and 0–20 μM competitor (MccJ25 or GreB [prepared as in Koulich et al., 1997]) in TB at 25°C. Fluorescence emission intensities were measured before and 5 min after addition of 1 μl 2 mM unlabeled MccJ25, and \( E \) and \( \theta \) were calculated as above. Equilibrium dissociation constants \( (K_{\text{C}}) \) were calculated as

\[
K_C = I_{\text{C}}(1 + [\text{Cy3-MccJ25}]_0 / K_{\text{D}}(\text{MccJ25}))
\]

(8)

where \( I_{\text{C}} \) is the concentration of competitor that yields half-maximal competition.

Minimum Bacteriocidal Concentration Assays

Strain DH5α (Invitrogen) was transformed with pRL663 or a pRL663 derivative, transformants (10^4 cells) were applied to LB-agar plates containing 1 μg/ml MccJ25 and 200 μg/ml ampicillin, and plates were incubated 24 hr at 37°C followed by 0–48 hr at 25°C. For each MccJ25 clone identified as a colon yielder, a colony on the original selective plate and also yielding colonies when restreaked to the same medium and incubated 16 hr at 37°C, plasmid DNA was prepared, plasmid DNA was introduced by transformation into strain DH5α (Invitrogen), transformants (10^4 cells) were applied to LB-agar plates containing 10 μg/ml MccJ25 and 200 μg/ml ampicillin and, in parallel, to LB-agar plates containing 200 μg/ml ampicillin, and plates were incubated 16 hr at 37°C. For each plasmid-linked MccJ25 clone identified as a clone yielding comparable numbers of colonies on the plates with and without MccJ25, the nucleotide sequence of the mutagenized roPC segment was determined by dyeoxy nucleotide sequencing.
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